

Solar Farm

Mallard Pass Solar Farm

Consideration of Additional Cumulative Long List Developments

Deadline 8 - October 2023

PINS Ref: EN010127

Document Ref: EN010127/APP/9.50

Revision P0

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

Reg 5 (2) (j)



Consideration of Additional Cumulative Long List Developments

At Deadline 6 the cumulative long list was updated based on a search for any new developments since submission of the DCO [REP6-004a]. The search identified eight developments meeting the long list criteria. Table 1 outlines the additional developments included on the long list.

Table 1: Additions to Cumulative Long List

No.	Project / Planning Reference	approved development			Tier/Confi dence of Data	Relevant Authority				
Planning Applications within 10km (updated at Deadline 6)										
71	2023/013 3/SCO	proposed north western and southern		Awaiting Decision	Tier C Low	Rutland CC				
72	2022/022 7/MAO	development (up to 650 dwellings) a	south- west	Awaiting decision	Tier C Medium	Rutland CC				
73	2022/147 6/FUL	dwellinghouses Land North Of College		Awaiting decision	Tier C Medium	Rutland CC				
Solar NSIPs (beyond 10km) (updated at Deadline 6)										
74	Beacon Fen Energy Park	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	northeas t	Pre- applicatio n	Tier D Medium High	PINS				



No.	-	approved development			Tier/Confi dence of Data	Relevant Authority
75		400MWh Battery Energy Storage	_	Pre- applicatio n	Tier D Medium High	PINS
76	Solar Park		_	Pre- applicatio n	Tier D Medium	PINS
77	Energy Park	l l		Pre- applicatio n	Tier D Medium	PINS
78	Solar Farm			Pre- applicatio n	Tier D Medium	PINS

Cumulative development 73

Cumulative development 73 does not meet the shortlisting criterion of constituting EIA development, and as such has no potential for likely significant effects either alone or incombination with the Proposed Development.

Cumulative developments 71 and 72

In relation to cumulative developments 71 and 72, if there was an overlap in construction phases with the Proposed Development, they would contribute to the already reported cumulative Moderate beneficial effects on construction jobs.

These schemes are located within the same waterbody catchment as the Proposed Development. However, provided that standard and good practice mitigation is implemented through their respective Construction Environmental Management Plans (CEMPs), as per



the conditions of the relevant planning permissions, there would not be any potential for significant cumulative effects on water resources during construction.

Furthermore, these schemes are supported by appropriate flood risk assessments and drainage strategies in line with relevant guidance and best practice. The Proposed Development has similarly been designed to ensure no adverse effects on water quality or increase in flood risk during operation.

During both the construction and operational phases of the Proposed Development and cumulative developments 71 and 72, the cumulative effects on the local highway network, accidents and safety, severance, driver delay, pedestrian delay and amenity and hazardous loads will be negligible. Therefore, there are not expected to be any significant cumulative effects with cumulative developments 71 and 72 in relation to Transport and Access as a result of the construction or operation of the Proposed Development.

Cumulative developments 74 to 78

In relation to cumulative developments 74-78 it is considered that they are sufficiently distanced from the Order limits, and there is a lack of impact-pathways to receptors affected by the Proposed Development, such that there is no potential for any cumulative effects with the exception of agricultural land.

Cumulative effects on agricultural land with these solar developments were considered at Deadline 3 in Appendix I to the Applicant Response to Interested Parties Deadline 2 Submissions [REP3-031]. The Appendix demonstrates that the Proposed Development incombination with these schemes would not have any significant cumulative effects on best and most versatile agricultural land.

In relation to socio-economics, cumulative developments 74-78 would add to the moderate beneficial cumulative effect associated with construction employment, linked supply chain benefits and contribution to Gross Value Added (GVA) assessed Chapter 16: Interaction of Effects and Summary of Cumulative Effects [APP-046]. It is considered that the cumulative effect would remain moderate beneficial and significant taking these cumulative developments into account.

As such, the cumulative assessment presented in Chapter 16: Interaction of Effects and Summary of Cumulative Effects [APP-046] is still valid and does not require an update.

